

Android Malware Categorization Using a Semi-Supervised Deep Learning Architecture Based on Ladder Networks Samaneh Mahdavifar, Mohammad Rasool Fatemi, Dima Alhadidi, Ali A. Ghorbani Canadian Institute for Cybersecurity (CIC), University of New Brunswick (UNB)

# Abstract

Owning the largest share of Smartphone platform tendency, Android OS has changed to an undeniable target for many malware authors. According to real world scenario, most of Android malware applications don't benefit from having labels and applying supervised learning wouldn't be the right solution to pick. That's because of the major drawback of supervised learning, i. e., requiring lots of labeled data that is so expensive to collect. Addressing this issue, in this work, we employ a semi-supervised deep learning architecture, called, ladder networks that minimizes the sum of supervised and unsupervised cost functions by backpropagation at the same time. We craft two different types of feature vectors, namely, API Call frequency and API Call sequences to feed into ladder networks. The experimental results show a reasonable gap of 7-8% in total accuracy for 100 used labels and all used labels. At last, we fine-tune the learning parameters of ladder networks to improve its generalization ability and present corresponding graphs and diagrams

## **Previous Research**

Year	Authors	Publication Title	Focus Area	Analysis Technique		
2013	2013 Aater et. Al. robust malware detection in android	Android Malware Detection	Lightweight approach based on semantic information inside bytecode of the applications such as, invoked critical API calls, package level information as well as some important parameters			

## **Android Malware Categories**

Malware by Category, Q2 2017



2014 2017 2016	Zhang et. al. Mariconti et. al. Hou et. al.	<ul> <li>dependency graph</li> <li>Mamadroid: Detection</li> <li>building markov characteristic</li> <li>[NDSS]</li> <li>Deep4maldroid: A framework for and based on linux ker</li> </ul>	g weighted contextual api as [ACM SIGSAC] cting android malware by hains of behavioral models deep learning froid malware detection nel system	Android Malware Detection Android Malware Detection Android Malware Detection using Deep Learning	Semantic-based approach that classifies a weighted contextual API dependency gra Static Android malware detection system sequences of abstracted API Calls which are used to build a Markov ch Dynamic Android malware detection base of Linux kernel system calls using Stacked	ph based on the nain ed on a weighted graph			<ul> <li>Banking</li> <li>Keylogger</li> <li>Stealer</li> <li>RAT</li> <li>Downloader</li> <li>Point-of-Sale</li> </ul>
call graphs [WIW]								uarterly overall message volume eriments	
shorto from decod the hi • Allow the ne detail repres	cut connect the encode ler at each erarchy s the highe etwork to d s and focu senting mo	er to the n level of er levels of discard is on ore	$\mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2) \longrightarrow \bigcirc $	$\tilde{\mathbf{z}}^{(2)} \qquad g^{(2)}(\cdot, \cdot) \checkmark$ $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}^{(1)} \qquad g^{(1)}(\cdot, \cdot) \checkmark$ $g^{(0)}(\cdot, \cdot) \checkmark$ $g^{(0)}(\cdot, \cdot) \checkmark$		$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<pre>inputs": [], package": "com.android.music", outputs": { "ReturnType": "java.lang.Boole "ReturnValue": "true" , class": "java.io.File", timestamp": 1477336771863, method": "exists" . </pre>	PARAMETER an", $ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	# Final classification: $P(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}) \leftarrow \mathbf{h}^{(L)}$ # Decoder and denoising for $1 = L$ to 0 do if $1 = L$ then $(\Gamma)$



### **Dataset and Results**

Туре	Number			
Banking	250			
Ransomware	250			
Adware	250			
Botnet	250			
Benign	250			



TABLE II

 $\mathbf{X}$ 



**Future Work** 

#### **Practical**

- We have to add more data to our dataset since doing so will improve the results and considering that we can get our hands on more data, this is definitely going to make a noticeable improvement in accuracy
- In the near future, there is going to be more works on semisupervised android malware detection and we need to compare our method to the other algorithms
- There are more datasets that we can trust and as a result, perform our model on them. This makes our method more comparable to others and also may open some new aspects to the problem.

#### **Theorical**

 The analysis of feature is rather incomplete, as we can extract more and better features from API call sequences and frequencies. Much remains to be done in this regard